

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,481	10/024,481 12/21/2001		Gilles Rubinstenn	05725.0977-00	4564	
22852	7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER		
FINNEGAL	N, HEND	ERSON, FARAB	BORISSOV, IGOR N			
901 NEW Y	ORK AV	ENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		20001-4413	3639			

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			81	RUBINSTENN ET AL.					
			7	Art Unit					
		Igor Boris	sov	3639	·				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TI 3 1.136(a). In no evi iod will apply and w atute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from discation to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>J</i>				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 December 2	001.						
· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is r	<del></del>		•				
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
*	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· —	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
		01 010011011 101	igan om om						
	on Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by the Exam		_						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/  No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite	O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A. Claims 1-12, 25-36 and 49-57, drawn to beauty analysis method, computer readable medium and system, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
- B. Claims 13-24, 37-48, 58-67, drawn to beauty analysis method, computer readable medium and system, classified in class 700, subclass 1.
- C. Claims 68-72, drawn to beauty analysis method, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- D. Claims 73-75, drawn to beauty analysis method, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- E. Claims 76-78, drawn to beauty analysis method, classified in class 705, subclass 1.

Inventions A, B, C, D and E are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention B as claimed, because Invention A requires:

"presenting to the subject directions for conducting at least one physical self-test";

The invention B has separate utility such as:

"identifying, as a function of the first response set, at least one physical self-test to be conducted by the subject";

and

"prescribing at least one beauty product to the subject as a function of the first response set, the second response set, and the information reflecting results of the physical self-test".

Application/Control Number: 10/024,481

Art Unit: 3639

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention C as claimed, because Invention A requires:

"presenting to the subject directions for conducting at least one physical self-test";

The invention C has separate utility such as:

"based on the received at least one answer, identifying at least one physical test to be conducted on the subject".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention D as claimed, because Invention A requires:

"instructing the subject on how to furnish information reflecting results of the self-test";

The invention D has separate utility such as:

"presenting to a subject directions for conducting at least one physical self-test".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention A as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention E as claimed, because Invention A requires:

"presenting to the subject directions for conducting at least one physical self-test";

The invention E has separate utility such as:

"prescribing at least one beauty product to the subject as a function of at least the information reflecting results of the physical self-test".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

Application/Control Number: 10/024,481

Art Unit: 3639

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention B as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention C as claimed, because Invention B requires:

"identifying, as a function of the first response set, at least one physical self-test to be conducted by the subject";

and

"prescribing at least one beauty product to the subject as a function of the first response set, the second response set, and the information reflecting results of the physical self-test".

The invention C has separate utility such as:

"based on the received at least one answer, identifying at least one physical test to be conducted on the subject".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention B as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention D as claimed, because Invention B requires:

"identifying, as a function of the first response set, at least one physical self-test to be conducted by the subject";

and

"prescribing at least one beauty product to the subject as a function of the first response set, the second response set, and the information reflecting results of the physical self-test".

The invention D has separate utility such as:

"presenting the at least one selected question to the subject".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention B as claimed does not require the particulars of

Application/Control Number: 10/024,481

Art Unit: 3639

the invention E as claimed, because Invention B requires:

"instructing the subject on how to furnish information reflecting results of the physical self-test";

The invention E has separate utility such as:

"presenting directions for conducting the at least one physical self-test to the subject".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention C as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention D as claimed, because Invention C requires:

"based on the received at least one answer, identifying at least one physical test to be conducted on the subject";

The invention D has separate utility such as:

"selecting, from a plurality of questions stored in a data structure, at least one question to be presented to the subject, wherein the selecting of at least one question is a function of the received information reflecting results of the test".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the invention C as claimed does not require the particulars of the invention E as claimed, because Invention A requires:

"instructing the subject to perform the test";

The invention E has separate utility such as:

"prescribing at least one beauty product to the subject as a function of at least the information reflecting results of the physical self-test".

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3639

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the submitted claims are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the claims to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igor Borissov whose telephone number is 571-272-6801. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IGOR N. BORISSOV PRIMARY EXAMINER

ΙB

3/16/2006